

# Should I stay or should I go? The role of housing in understanding limited inter-regional worker mobility

*Jesper Bojeryd, UCLA and Norges Bank – **Discussion***

Luís Teles Morais

*Nova SBE*

*The Economics of Migration Junior Seminar, 26 March 2024*

# Overview

**Q:** Does homeownership deter workers from moving away after a negative shock to regional labor demand?

## *Empirical strategy*

- Exploit exogenous shock to local economic conditions (2014 oil shock) in Stavanger
- Measure effects on out-migration, namely as they relate to housing wealth
  - Rich population data, allows to explore other dimensions: age; income; wealth

## *Model*

- Life-cycle/spatial model with joint consumption, moving and housing tenure decisions and endogenous house prices
- Qualitatively replicates empirical results

**Contribution:** propose new “housing wealth channel”

# Research question

**Q:** Does homeownership deter workers from moving away after a negative shock to regional labor demand?

- Very interesting, touches on different literatures: urban; labor; household fin.
- Would benefit from sharper focus + hint at a concrete mechanism from the start
  - Could refer to a variety of mechanisms: moving, search costs; mortgages; ...
  - Large literature since Ferreira, Gyourko, and Tracy (2010); SEE Fonseca and Liu (2023)

# Comment #1

*Clarify proposed mechanism*

[H]omeowners who wished to move would make less from selling their current dwelling, [...]being unable to afford housing of the same quality in other locations[...].  
This acts as a pull factor on the homeowner to stay

- Relative price/substitution effect also true for renters?
- As for income effect for homeowners, is this a disincentive to move or a result of moving decisions? **Depends on source of house price drop**

# Comment #1

*Clarify proposed mechanism*

## Why do house prices go down in Stavanger?

- No clear hypothesis in the paper, but most obvious candidate:
  - $\downarrow$  shift in  $L$  demand  $\Rightarrow \downarrow L, w$  in eqbm.  $\Rightarrow \downarrow$  shift in housing demand
  - House prices fall due to lower incentives to live and work in  $S$
- But this applies to homeowner-workers too: “pull factor” = “push factor”?
  - For house price drop to be observed, there must be actual transactions
  - If market composed only of homeowner-workers, new price equilibrium only depends on their own preferences (“pull” = “push”)
  - GE: prices will fall *less* to offset some of the change in  $\Delta w$

# Comment #1

## *Clarify proposed mechanism*

- Something missing? Probably, interaction of rental and owner-occupier markets:
  - If renters leave and “foreign” landlords sell: homeowners face “exogenous” depreciation and can avoid capitalizing losses
  - Still, not obvious how effect varies with home value
  - Not clear at the moment, but good news: model looks ready to show this!
- Alternatives would not, per se, generate differences between owners/renters:
  - Drop in real estate investment from abroad?
  - Increase in housing supply?

# Comment #2

## *Clarify housing wealth data*

### What is “housing wealth”?

- Not clearly defined in the paper – especially problematic in this data
  - Presumably, **measured value of primary residence**
- What about mortgage debt? Requires *other* data (why not?)
  - Total debt data problematic (a lot of student loans)
- Measurement: housing undervalued in *this* data (Fagereng, Holm, and Torstensen 2020)
  - Do you apply the proposed (or other) corrections?
  - Could it be that any bias increases with **time since last transaction**?
  - ⇒ Then, housing wealth bins would sort by age of house
  - Could favor a decrease in propensity to move with “housing wealth”?

# Comment #3

## *Need to consider the role of financial constraints*

- Even if housing data were perfect, hard to interpret without mortgage debt data
- Needed to defend story and exclude financial constraints channels e.g.:
  - Young homeowners have not yet built home equity (housing assets – debt)
  - After price crash,  $\uparrow$  debt / assets  $\Rightarrow$  unable to sell and get a new mortgage  
(Stein 1995; Bernstein and Struyven 2022)
  - Also, given  $\downarrow$  wages, payment / income constraint may bind
- Such a story would have clear heterogeneous implications that could be checked:
  - Effect stronger with indebtedness (distance to LtV constraint)  
(Foote 2016; Gopalan et al. 2020)
  - Stronger for more **recent house purchases**?
- Could also help to rule out possible role of interest rate decline



# Comment #4

## *Need more information on price dynamics*

- Paper defends oil price shock was unexpected and had deep, long-lasting effects on local labor market (supported by other uses of the shock in the lit)
  - Need to make similar case for house price and rent dynamics (just as important)
- Assumption of constant price-rent ratio important conceptually and in model
  - Data: **rents fall more than twice as much as prices** (-8.5% vs. -23%)
    - ⇒ Consistent with stories where renters adjust more quickly than owners
    - ⇒ But this is not in the model (constant price-rent ratio)
- Also: why are prices in Stavanger falling already before the oil shock?

# Other comments

# Conclusion

- Great, innovative work bringing a fresh look to a fairly crowded space on links between housing markets, labor and location choice
  - An original channel is proposed, opening up a new avenue in the literature, which so far has focused on financial frictions – not easy!
  - In the end the channel is actually very simple, at its core based on relative prices; income vs. substitution effects – cool!
    - ⇨ Probably rethinking and pushing toy model will help
- Very detailed empirical work and setting + interesting, rich model environment
- Model: reconciling with the financial frictions literature (e.g. including a more realistic mortgage) will likely be necessary
  - It will be work, but also allow provide a lab to follow up with more research ideas!

**Good luck for the next steps! :)**

Thank you

# References

- Bernstein, Asaf, and Daan Struyven. 2022. "Housing Lock: Dutch Evidence on the Impact of Negative Home Equity on Household Mobility." *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* 14 (3): 1–32.
- Fagereng, Andreas, Martin Blomhoff Holm, and Kjersti Næss Torstensen. 2020. "Housing Wealth in Norway, 1993–2015." *Journal of Economic and Social Measurement* 45 (1): 65–81.
- Ferreira, Fernando, Joseph Gyourko, and Joseph Tracy. 2010. "Housing Busts and Household Mobility." *Journal of Urban Economics* 68 (1): 34–45.
- Fonseca, Julia, and Lu Liu. 2023. "Mortgage Lock-in, Mobility, and Labor Reallocation." *SSRN*.
- Foote, Andrew. 2016. "The Effects of Negative House Price Changes on Migration: Evidence Across u.s. Housing Downturns." *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 60: 292–99. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2016.08.001>.
- Gopalan, Radhakrishnan, Barton H Hamilton, Ankit Kalda, and David Sovich. 2020. "Home Equity and Labor Income: The Role of Constrained Mobility." *The Review of Financial Studies* 34 (10): 4619–62.
- Roback, Jennifer. 1982. "Wages, Rents, and the Quality of Life." *Journal of Political Economy* 90 (6): 1257–78.
- Rosen, Sherwin. 1979. "Wage-Based Indexes of Urban Quality of Life." *Current Issues in Urban Economics*, 74–104.
- Stein, Jeremy C. 1995. "Prices and Trading Volume in the Housing Market: A Model with Down-Payment Effects\*." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 110 (2): 379–406. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2118444>.